



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,781	01/26/2004	Kazuhiro Nakamura	AB-1146 US	2469
7590 MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP Suite 226 1762 Technology Drive San Jose, CA 95100		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">EXAMINER CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-top: 2px;"><span>ART UNIT</span><span>PAPER NUMBER</span></div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 2px;">3781</div>		
		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-top: 2px;"><span>MAIL DATE</span><span>DELIVERY MODE</span></div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 2px;">07/31/2007</div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 2px;">PAPER</div>		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/765,781	NAKAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner <i>/Stephen J. Castellano/</i>	Art Unit 3781

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen J. Castellano. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Mr. David Park (appl. rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 23 July 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*S. Castellano*  
 Stephen J. Castellano  
 Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called to discuss how examiner was reading Nulman et al. (6289915) and Suzuki (US 6305568 & JP2001-113963) on "said peripheral part defining an annular abutting surface defined by said first and second parts disposed concentrically one next to the other, said second part at said abutting surface projecting slightly beyond said first part at said abutting surface." The projecting second part, as shown in Fig. 5-7, represented a method limitation in a product claim and that when finally welded there wouldn't be a projecting portion as the surfaces of the first and second parts would be flush with each other.